



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,939	08/24/2001	Janakiram Koka	YAHOO-01008US1	2665

23910 7590 01/07/2005

FLIESLER MEYER, LLP  
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER  
SUITE 400  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

EXAMINER

HERNANDEZ, OLGA

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2144

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

09/938,939

**Applicant(s)**

KOKA ET AL.

**Examiner**

Olga Hernandez

**Art Unit**

2144

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 8/24/01 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1, in line 2, it is specified that the web page is divide in at least one chunk.

The whole web page is considered to be one chunk, being like this, the web page should be divided in at least two chunks; otherwise, it would not be divided.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kraus (6,266,684).

As per claim 1, Kraus discloses:

- determining the chunk size limit (column 5, lines 15-18);

Art Unit: 2144

- dividing the web page data into segments having a size no greater than the chunk size limit (column 5, lines 15-18 and abstract); and
- linking the chunks in sequence (column 2, line 67).

As per claims 2, 3, 14 and 15, Kraus discloses the use of HTML language (column 2, lines 56-67) in which the use of sequential or non-sequential links is a designer's choice.

As per claims 4 and 13, Kraus discloses a plain text markup language (column 2, lines 56-67) used to describe the appearance of an electronic document, most frequently used to construct Web pages. For format instructions, HTML specifies standard tags, which are interpreted by a browser. HTML allows the generation of highly sophisticated documents, which can be linked with other data types (primarily graphics and other documents, but also video and sound clips). Derived from Standard Generalized Markup Language (SGML).<sup>1</sup>

As per claims 5 and 16, Kraus discloses determining the point on the page where the chunk size limit is reached and creating a list of URL to subsequent chunks of the page (column 5, lines 62-67 and column 6, lines 1-8).

As per claims 6 and 17, Kraus discloses determining the chunk size limit falls on a word, URL or element boundary and establishing the break point at a position prior to the word, URL or element boundary (column 6, lines 1-22).

As per claims 7, 8, 18 and 19, Kraus discloses the break point falling on a word, new line tab (column 6, lines 9-22).

As per claims 9, 11 and 20, Kraus discloses creating a list of URL identifying each of the segments and fixing the URLs in the segments (column 3, lines 1-9, column 6, lines 1-8).

---

<sup>1</sup> LexiCAT Dictionary Copyright 1995-1998

Art Unit: 2144

As per claims 10 and 21, specifying meta data involves two steps:

1. Declaring a property and a value for that property. This may be done in two ways:
  1. From within a document, via the META element.
  2. From outside a document, by linking to meta data via the LINK element (see the section on link types).
2. Referring to a profile where the property and its legal values are defined. To designate a profile, use the profile attribute of the HEAD element.

As per claim 12, Kraus discloses:


- determining where the gateway limit falls in the content data (column 5, lines 62-67, column 6, lines 1-8); and
- parsing the content data into at least a first segment and at least a next segment of a size at or below the gateway limit at break points not falling within a word, URL or element boundary (column 5, lines 15-18, column 6, lines 1-8 and abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7144. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on (571) 272-3925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2144

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Olga Hernandez', with a stylized, looping design.

Olga Hernandez  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2144